GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

`Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji — Goa

Appeal No.47/2017

Jawaharlal T. Shetye, H.No. 35/A Ward No. 11, Khorlim Mapusa Goa.

..... Appellant

V/s.

1. Public Information Officer, The Secretary, Village Panchayat Latambarcem, Bicholim Goa.

2.First Appellate Authority, Block Development Officer, Bicholim Goa.

...... Respondents

CORAM:

Smt. Pratima K. Vernekar, State Information Commissioner

Filed on:24/04/2017 Decided on:13/07/2017

ORDER

- 1. The Appellant Shri Jawaharlal T. Shetye, by his application dated 22/12/2016 filed u/s 6(1) sought certain information from the Respondent No. 1 PIO of Village Panahayat of Latambarlem, Bicholim Goa on 6 points as stated therein in the said application ..
- 2. The said application was responded by the Respondent No. 1PIO on 20/4/2017, thereby furnishing the information on all points.
- 3. Being not satisfied with the reply of the respondent No. 1 PIO, the appellant preferred first appeal u/s 19(1) of the RTI Act on 2/2/17 with the Block Development officer, Bicholim Goa being first appellate authority who is the Respondent No.2 herein and the Respondent No. 2 First Appellate Authority by an order dated 14/3/2017 directed the respondent PIO to furnish the said information to the appellant within seven days from the date of the order.
- 4. Since the order of Respondent No. 2 FAA was not complied by Respondent No. 1 PIO and as he did not received any information ,

the appellant has approached this commission by way of second appeal on 24/4/2017 filed u/s 19(3) of the RTI Act on the ground as setout in memo of appeal.

- 5. In the present appeal the appellant has sought for prayer for furnishing him correct and full information as sought by him by his application dated 22/12/2016 and for invoking penal sections against the Respondent.
- 6. After notifying the parties, the matter was taken up for hearing . In pursuant to the notice the appellant was present in person . Respondent PIO Shri Mukesh Naik was present.
- 7. During the hearing since the appellant submitted that he had not satisfied with the information provided to him at point No. 3 and 4, the Respondent No. 1 PIO volunteered to furnish him the said information and accordingly came to be furnished to the appellant vide letter dated 12/7/2017 by the Respondent No. 1 PIO. The appellant was directed to verify the said information. On verification information the appellant then submitted that he had received the complete information as per his requirement which will enable him to take appropriate steps against the Village Panchayat before competent form. He further submitted that he Latambarcem has no grievance against the PIO and as such not pressing for penal provisions. Accordingly the appellant endorsed his say on the memo of appeal
- 8. In view of submission and endorsement made by the Appellant, nothing survives to be decided in the present appeal. Appeal stands disposed accordingly.

Proceeding stands closed.

Notify the parties.

Authenticated copies of the Order should be given to the parties free of cost.

Aggrieved party if any may move against this order by way of a Writ Petition as no further Appeal is provided against this order under the Right to Information Act 2005.

Pronounced in the open court.

Proceeding closed.

Sd/-

(Ms.Pratima K. Vernekar)

State Information Commissioner Goa State Information Commission, Panaji-Goa